APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

Faculty Member's Information (comp	pleted by the app	plicant)		
Name:		Current rank:	. <u> </u>	
College/unit: Department:				
Current Faculty Track: Tenure Track Tenured Clinical Track				
Personnel Action Review Requested (mark all that apply): Promotion Tenure				
Promotion to: Assistant Profe	<u> </u>	•		- '
Committee Member's Name	Title		Signature	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
o				
General Review of the Evaluation Areas: The committee shall indicate its appraisal of the applicant's attainment of the criteria in the applicable.				
The committee shall indicate its appraisal of the applicant's attainment of the criteria in the applicable evaluation areas described in the University Handbook and appropriate College/unit criteria.				
		Exceeds	Meets	Does not meet
		expectations	expectations	expectations
1. Teaching (may not apply for Libr Professional Performance (for Li				
2. Scholarship & Professional Activ	ity			
3. Service				
4. Practice (for Clinical Track facult	y)			
Promotion Recommendation of Review Committee: Grant Promotion Deny Promotion Tenure Recommendation of Review Committee (as applicable): Grant Tenure Deny Tenure N/A				
Date of Review Committee's Recomm	nendation(s):			

Appraisal and Recommendation Memo: The review committee prepares a memo summarizing the review of the applicant's attainment of the criteria listed in the <u>University Handbook</u> and appropriate College/unit guidelines, including specific achievements, strengths, and weaknesses in the applicable evaluation areas of teaching, professional performance (for Library faculty), scholarship and professional activity, practice (for Clinical Track faculty), and service. Also note any committee member recusals or other circumstances. This form, the accompanying appraisal memo, and supporting materials (if applicable) shall be inserted into Section I.1 of the faculty member's portfolio.

APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – COLLEGE/UNIT REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

Applicant Information (completed by the applicant)				
Name:	Current rank	:		
College/unit: Department:				
Current Faculty Track: Tenure Track Tenured Clinical Track				
Personnel Action Review Requested (mark all that ap	ply): Promo	tion 🔲 Tenure		
Promotion to: Assistant Professor Assoc			_ ·	
Committee Member's Name Title 1	icant's attainme	nt of the criteria	in the applicable	
	Exceeds	Meets	Does not meet	
	expectations	expectations	expectations	
1. Teaching (may not apply for Library faculty) Professional Performance (for Library faculty)				
2. Scholarship & Professional Activity				
3. Service				
4. Practice (for Clinical Track faculty)				
Promotion Recommendation of Review Committee: Tenure Recommendation of Review Committee (as a Date of Review Committee's Recommendation(s):		<u> </u>		

Appraisal and Recommendation Memo: The review committee prepares a memo summarizing the review of the applicant's attainment of the criteria listed in the <u>University Handbook</u> and appropriate College/unit guidelines, including specific achievements, strengths, and weaknesses in the applicable evaluation areas of teaching, professional performance (for Library faculty), scholarship and professional activity, practice (for Clinical Track faculty), and service. Also note any committee member recusals or other circumstances. This form, the accompanying appraisal memo, and supporting materials (if applicable) shall be inserted into Section I.1 of the faculty member's portfolio.

APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM CHAIR FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

Applicant Information (completed by the applicant)				
Name: (Current rank:			
College: Department:				
Faculty Track: Tenure Track Tenured Clinical Track				
Personnel Action Requested (mark all that apply): Promotion Tenure				
Promotion to: Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor N/A				
Clinical Assistant Professor	Clinical Associate	Professor (Clinical Professor	
Role of Administrator: Department/Program Cha	air C	Other Administra	tor	
General Review of the Evaluation Areas: The Chair or Administrator indicates his/her appraisa applicable evaluation areas described in the University	• • •			
	Exceeds	Meets	Does not meet	
	expectations	expectations	expectations	
 Teaching (may not apply for Library faculty) Professional Performance (for Library faculty) 				
2. Scholarship & Professional Activity				
3. Service				
4. Practice (for Clinical Track faculty)				
Recommendation(s) of the Chair:				
Grant Promotion Deny Promotion				
Grant Tenure Deny Tenure N/A	1			
Name, Title, and Signature of Administrator:				
			Date	

Appraisal and Recommendation Memo:

The Department/Program Chair writes an appraisal and recommendation memo summarizing his/her review and recommendation. This appraisal and recommendation are based on the applicant's attainment of the criteria listed in the <u>University Handbook</u> and appropriate College/unit guidelines, including his/her specific achievements, strengths, and weaknesses in the applicable evaluation areas of teaching, professional performance (for Library faculty), scholarship and professional activity, practice (for Clinical Track faculty), and service. This form, the accompanying appraisal memo, and supporting materials (if applicable) shall be inserted into Section I.1 of the faculty member's portfolio.

APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – UNIVERSITY PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

allogo /wait.		_ current rank:		
Jollege/unit:	ge/unit: Department:			
Current Faculty Track: Tenure	Track 🔲 Tenu	red 🗌 Clinica	l Track	
Personnel Action Review Requested	(mark all that ap	ply): Promot	tion 🔲 Tenure	
Promotion to: Assistant Pro Clinical Assistant	ofessor	•		_ ,
Committee Member's Name	Title		Signature	
l			•	
2.				
J				
l :				
j				
i				
3				
)				
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its app Evaluation areas described in the Un	reas: raisal of the appl	icant's attainme	nt of the criteria	in the applicable
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its app	reas: raisal of the appl	icant's attainme	nt of the criteria	in the applicable
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its app	reas: raisal of the appl	icant's attainme ok and appropria	nt of the criteria	in the applicable criteria.
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its app	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handboo	icant's attainme ok and appropria Exceeds	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its appervaluation areas described in the Un 1. Teaching (may not apply for Lib	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handbood prary faculty) Library faculty)	icant's attainme ok and appropria Exceeds	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its appearal unit areas described in the Unit of t	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handbood prary faculty) Library faculty)	icant's attainme ok and appropria Exceeds	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet
General Review of the Evaluation A The committee shall indicate its approvaluation areas described in the Un 1. Teaching (may not apply for Libert Professional Performance (for L. 2. Scholarship & Professional Activity	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handbood prary faculty) Library faculty) vity	icant's attainme ok and appropria Exceeds	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet
1. Teaching (may not apply for Liberto Promotion Recommendation of Rev	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handbood prary faculty) Library faculty) vity lty)	icant's attainme	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet
1. Teaching (may not apply for Libertofessional Performance (for L. Scholarship & Professional Activa. Service 4. Practice (for Clinical Track facul	reas: raisal of the appliniversity Handbood prary faculty) Library faculty) vity lty)	icant's attainme	nt of the criteria Ite College/unit o	in the applicable criteria. Does not meet

APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – DEAN OR DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

Applicant Information (completed by the applicant)				
Name: C	Current rank:			
College: Department: _				
Faculty Track: Tenure Track Tenured	Clinical Track			
Personnel Action Requested (mark all that apply):	Promotion _	Tenure		
Promotion to: Assistant Professor Asso	ciate Professor	Professor	□ N/A	
Clinical Assistant Professor	Clinical Associate	e Professor	Clinical Professor	
General Review of the Evaluation Areas: The Dean/Director of Library indicates below his/her appraisal of the applicant's attainment of the criteria in the applicable evaluation areas described in the University Handbook and appropriate College/unit criteria.				
	Exceeds	Meets	Does not meet	
1. Togething (many most apply for Library for sultry)	expectations	expectations	expectations	
1. Teaching (may not apply for Library faculty) Professional Performance (for Library faculty)				
2. Scholarship & Professional Activity				
3. Service				
4. Practice (for Clinical Track faculty)				
Recommendation(s) of Dean/Director of Library: Grant Promotion Deny Promotion Grant Tenure Deny Tenure N/A Name and Signature of Dean/Director of Library:				
		Date		
Appraisal and Recommendation Memo: The Dean/Director of Library writes an appraisal and recommendation memo summarizing his/her review. This appraisal and recommendation are based on the applicant's attainment of the criteria listed in the University Handbook and appropriate College/unit guidelines, including specific achievements, strengths, and weaknesses in the applicable evaluation areas teaching, professional performance (for Library faculty), scholarship and professional activity, practice (for Clinical Track faculty), and service. For tenure considerations, the Dean/Director of Library provides additional appraisal with respect to: 1. Evidence that the applicant has completed all contractual obligations made to the University during the probationary period. 2. An appraisal of the faculty applicant's potential for continued productivity within the framework of the				
college/unit and University.3. A projection of the applicant's long-term relation enrollment trends and the numbers of presentlement.	•	-		
Faculty Acknowledgement: I have reviewed the above appraisal and recommendation.				
Applicant's signature:		Date		

APPRAISAL AND RECOMMENDATION FORM – PROVOST FOR FACULTY PROMOTION AND/OR TENURE

Applicant Information (completed by the applicant)
Name: Current rank:
College: Department:
Faculty Track: Tenure Track Tenured Clinical Track
Personnel Action Requested (mark all that apply): Promotion Tenure
Promotion to: Assistant Professor Associate Professor Professor N/A
Clinical Assistant Professor Clinical Associate Professor Clinical Professor
Recommendation(s) of the Provost:
Grant Promotion Deny Promotion
☐ Grant Tenure ☐ Deny Tenure ☐ N/A
Date
Mohammed F. Khayum, Provost